

NSW research results

RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT-INDEPENDENT RESEARCH FOR INDUSTRY

The following paper is from an edition of the Northern or Southern New South Wales research results book.

Published annually since 2012, these books contain a collection of papers that provide an insight into selected research and development activities undertaken by NSW DPI in northern and southern NSW.

Not all papers will be accessible to readers with limited vision. For help, please contact: Carey Martin at <u>carey.martin@dpi.nsw.gov.au</u>

©State of NSW through the Department of Regional New South Wales, 2023

Published by NSW Department of Primary Industries, a part of the Department of Regional New South Wales.

You may copy, distribute, display, download and otherwise freely deal with this publication for any purpose, provided that you attribute the Department of Regional New South Wales as the owner. However, you must obtain permission if you wish to charge others for access to the publication (other than at cost); include the publication advertising or a product for sale; modify the publication; or republish the publication on a website. You may freely link to the publication on a departmental website.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing. However, because of advances in knowledge, users are reminded of the need to ensure that the information upon which they rely is up to date and to check the currency of the information with the appropriate officer of the Department of Regional New South Wales or the user's independent adviser.

Any product trade names are supplied on the understanding that no preference between equivalent products is intended and that the inclusion of a product name does not imply endorsement by the department over any equivalent product from another manufacturer.

www.dpi.nsw.gov.au



SOUTHERN NSW RESEARCH RESULTS 2024

Long coleoptile durum wheat experiment – Riverina 2023

Tony Napier¹, Daniel Johnston¹, Mitch Clifton² and Dr Steven Simpfendorfer² ¹ NSW DPIRD, Yanco Agricultural Institute, Private Mail Bag, Yanco NSW 2703 ² NSW DPIRD, Tamworth Agricultural Institute, 4 Marsden Park Road, Calala NSW 2340

Key findings

- With adequate soil moisture, sowing durum wheat earlier in the season (22 May) at either 4 cm (0.48 t/ha benefit) or 12 cm deep (1.64 t/ha benefit) produced the highest yield compared with a later sowing (21 June).
- With earlier sowing, when there is not enough soil moisture at 4 cm, and the option to sow deeper at 12 cm into moisture is taken, equivalent yield can be achieved even though establishment was reduced.
- Deeper sowing at 12 cm must be done earlier in the season as delaying by 4 weeks resulted in reduced yield compared with shallower sowing at 4 cm.
- DBA Aurora^(b) was the best performing durum variety with the highest grain yield at both sowing depths and sowing dates. The long coleoptile durum lines did not demonstrate any advantage over the commercial durum varieties in this experiment.
- The effects of fusarium crown rot (FCR) on yield were reduced with earlier sowing with 8% (0.30 t/ha) yield loss when sown on 22 May and 16% (0.45 t/ha) yield loss when sowing was delayed until 21 June. Earlier sowing, even if it requires an increase in sowing depth to 12 cm appears to be a useful strategy to minimise yield loss from FCR in higher risk paddocks.

Keywords	Durum, variety, gr	ain yield, sowing depth, sowing date	
cereals deeper than th seed germination and the potential for whea establishment and pro		e autumn break is delayed, growers might consider the option to sow a the normal 4–5 cm in search of adequate soil moisture to allow and emergence. When sowing depth is increased to 12 cm, there is neat varieties with genetically longer coleoptiles to improve crop productivity. This experiment assessed yield and fusarium crown rot sociated with planting at 2 different depths for both early and later owing window.	
		stions: should growers adopt longer coleoptile durum varieties to ment when sowing deeper, and what is the risk vs reward of these wers?	
Site details	Location	Leeton Field Station (LFS)	
	Soil type	Self-mulching medium clay	
	Previous crop	Fallow (2022), wheat (2021)	

Soil starting nitroge	en (N)		
0 0	95 kg N/ha (60 cm deep)		
Fertiliser	 Pre-sowing: 100 kg/ha of mono-ammonium phosphate (MAP) (10 kg N/ha) 		
	 Top-dressing: 110 kg/ha of urea (50 kg N/ha) 		
Row spacing	350 mm		
In-season rainfall	Autumn: 9 mm		
	• Winter: 102 mm		
	Spring: 25 mm		
Fungicides	Veritas (200 g/L tebuconazole and 120 g/L azoxystrobin) at 600 mL/ha (× 3)		
Herbicides	• Trilogy (480 g/L trifluralin) at 1.7 L/ha		
	 Boxer Gold (800 g/L prosulfocarb and 120 g/L S-metolachlor) at 2.5 L/ha 		
	 Axial (100 g/L pinoxaden and 25 g/L cloquintocet-mexyl) at 600 mL/ha 		

Treatments

The experiment comprised 3 replicates of 6 varieties × 2 FCR treatments (minus and plus FCR) × 2 sowing depths × 2 sowing dates, with a total of 144 plots (Table 1). All plots were 1.4 m wide (4 rows at 35 cm apart) and 12 m long. The experiment was sown using a cone seeder for both seed placement and base fertiliser application. Nitrogen was topdressed as urea when the crop was at the GS31 growth stage and broadcast by hand.

Table 1Treatments (sowing date, target sowing depth, FCR status and genotype) evaluated inthe 2023 LFS long coleoptile durum wheat (LCDW) experiment.

Treatment	Comment	
Sowing date (SD)		
SD1: 22 May	Early in the sowing window for the Riverina	
SD2: 16 June	Late in the sowing window for the Riverina	
Target depth		
4 cm	Actual depth ranged from 3.7 cm to 4 cm	
12 cm	Actual depth ranged from 10 cm to 12 cm	
FCR status		
Minus FCR	FCR inoculum NOT added to seed before sowing	
Plus FCR	FCR inoculum added to seed before sowing	
Genotype		
DBA Aurora ⁽⁾	Commercial durum variety	
Scepter	Commercial bread variety	
DBA Vittaroi	Commercial durum variety	
V190245-6	Long coleoptile durum line	
V189631-3	Long coleoptile durum line	
V189586-4	Long coleoptile durum line	

Measurements

Plant counts were conducted 3 times/week to determine emergence date and plant density. The date for emergence was determined when at least 50% of the final plant density had emerged. Final plant density was assessed 5 weeks after sowing. Early biomass accumulation was assessed using hand cuts at the GS31 growth stage. Early biomass samples were collected evenly across all 4 plant lines. Biomass samples were dried, weighed and expressed as g/m².

All plots were sown 12 m long and reduced to 10 m before harvest. The 10 m plots were harvested using a Kingaroy plot header with all 4 rows harvested to determine grain yield. Subsamples from the machine harvest were collected and used to determine grain quality with yield calculated at 12% grain moisture.

Results Emergence, establishment and early growth

Average soil temperatures were relatively stable across sowing depths with a 0.1 °C increase between 4 cm and 12 cm depths in both SD1 and SD2 (Table 2).

Increasing sowing depth from 4 cm to 12 cm delayed emergence by 2.4 days for SD1. A longer delay of 4.3 days occurred for SD2 when the sowing depth was increased from 4 cm to 12 cm.

A large variation in early biomass accumulation by GS31 was observed between sowing date and sowing depth. Delaying sowing or increasing the sowing depth significantly decreased biomass accumulation. Biomass underpins yield; generally, the more biomass the better the yield. The average early biomass accumulation for SD1 at 4 cm was 100.8 g/m². The average early biomass accumulation for SD2 at 12 cm depth was 5.8 g/m², which is only 5.7% of SD1 at 4 cm (Table 2).

Table 2Average measured soil temperature at 2 sowing depths and 2 sowing dates and theeffect on days to emergence and early growth for the 2023 LCDW experiment at LFS.

Sowing depth	Soil temperature (°C)		Emergence (days)		Early biomass (g/m²)	
	SD1	SD2	SD1	SD2	SD1	SD2
4 cm	12.4	9.2	13.0 ª	21.1 °	100.8 ª	61.8 ^b
12 cm	12.3	9.3	15.4 ^b	25.4 ^d	45.7 °	5.8 ^d

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 95% confidence level.

Scepter⁽⁾ sown at 4 cm deep had the highest density with 106.9 plants/m² and was statistically similar to the longer coleoptile variety V189586-4 at 4 cm, which had an average density of 99.1 plants/m² (Table 3). Scepter⁽⁾ sown at 12 cm had the lowest average density with 26.5 plants/m² and was statistically similar to DBA Aurora⁽⁾ at 12 cm with 34.1 plants/m².

Table 3Effect of 2 sowing depths (averaged across both sowing dates) on density (plants/m²)on one bread wheat and 5 durum wheat varieties for the 2023 LCDW experiment at LFS.

Variety	Sowing depth		
	4 cm	12 cm	
DBA Aurora	88.7 ^{bcd}	34.1 ^{fg}	
Scepter	106.9 ª	26.5 ^g	
Vittaroi	97.4 ^{abc}	38.2 ^{ef}	
V190245-6	88.4 ^{cd}	39.6 ^{ef}	
V189631-3	86.1 ^d	41.0 ^{ef}	
V189586-4	99.1 ^{ab}	46.2 °	
Average	94.1	46.2	

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 95% confidence level.

SD2 at 4 cm achieved the highest average density with 97.6 plants/m² yet was statistically similar to SD1 at 4 cm with an average density of 91.6 plants/m² (Table 4). SD2 at 12 cm had the lowest density with 20.4 plants/m² and was significantly lower than all other combinations of sowing date and sowing depth.

Table 4Effect of 2 sowing dates on density (plants/m²) at 2 sowing depths for the 2023LCDW experiment at LFS.

Sowing depth	Sowing date		
	SD1	SD2	
4 cm	91.6 ª	97.6 ª	
12 cm	54.9 ^b	20.4 °	

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 95% confidence level.

Grain yield

Grain yield averaged 3.10 t/ha across all variety, sowing date, depth and fusarium treatments. DBA Aurora^(h) was the highest yielding variety when averaged across all treatments at 3.68 t/ha and was statistically similar to Scepter^(h) that had an average yield of 3.50 t/ha (Table 5). The 3 long coleoptile varieties (V189631-6, V189631-3 and V189586-4) all had a significantly lower average yield than DBA Aurora^(h) or Scepter^(h).

Table 5Average grain yield (t/ha) for the one bread wheat and 5 durum wheat varieties in the2023 LCDW experiment at LFS.

Variety	Grain yield
DBA Aurora	3.68 ª
Scepter	3.50 ª
Vittaroi	3.16 ^b
V190245-6	3.15 ^b
V189631-3	2.56 °
V189586-4	2.55 °
Average	3.10

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 95% confidence level.

SD1 sown at 4 cm achieved the highest average grain yield of 3.69 t/ha and was statistically similar to SD1 at 12 cm with an average yield of 3.57 t/ha (Table 6). SD2 sown at 12 cm had the lowest average grain yield with 1.93 t/ha and was significantly lower yielding than all other combinations of sowing date and sowing depth.

Table 6Effect of 2 sowing dates on yield (t/ha) at 2 sowing depths for the 2023 LCDWexperiment at LFS.

Sowing depth	Sowing date	
	SD1	SD2
4 cm	3.69 ª	3.21 ^b
12 cm	3.57 ª	1.93 °

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 95% confidence level.

DBA Aurora^(h) at SD1 achieved the highest average grain yield with 4.23 t/ha and was statistically similar to Scepter^(h) at SD1 with an average yield of 4.06 t/ha (Table 7). V189586-4 in SD2 and V189631-3 in SD2 had the lowest average grain yield with 2.02 t/ha and 2.12 t/ha respectively.

Scepter⁽⁾ at 4 cm achieved the highest grain yield with 4.31 t/ha and was statistically similar to DBA Aurora⁽⁾ at 4 cm with an average yield of 4.20 t/ha (Table 7). V189586-4 at 12 cm had the lowest average grain yield with 2.41 t/ha but was statistically similar to V189631-3 at 12 cm, V189586-4 at 4 cm, V189631-3 at 4 cm and Scepter⁽⁾ at 12 cm.

Variety	Sowing	g date	Sowing	Sowing depth	
	SD1	SD2	4 cm	12 cm	
DBA Aurora	4.23 ª	3.14 °	4.20 ª	3.17 ^{cd}	
Scepter	4.06 ª	2.93 °	4.31 ª	2.69 efg	
Vittaroi	3.69 ^b	2.64 ^d	3.56 ^b	2.77 ^{ef}	
V190245-6	3.73 ^b	2.57 ^d	3.39 bc	2.91 ^{de}	
V189631-3	3.00 °	2.12 °	2.59 fg	2.53 fg	
V189586-4	3.07 °	2.02 °	2.68 efg	2.41 ^g	
Average	3.63	2.57	3.45	2.75	

Table 7Average effect of 2 sowing dates and 2 sowing depths on yield (t/ha) on one breadwheat and 5 durum wheat varieties for the 2023 LCDW experiment at LFS.

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 95% confidence level.

Fusarium

SD1 minus FCR achieved the highest average grain yield with 3.78 t/ha and was significantly higher yielding than SD1 plus FCR with 3.48 t/ha (Table 8). SD2 minus FCR achieved a grain yield of 2.80 t/ha which was significantly higher than SD2 plus FCR with 2.35 t/ha. Earlier sowing even in the presence of FCR infection was still 0.68 t/ha higher yielding than delayed sowing in the absence of FCR.

The sowing depth of 4 cm minus FCR achieved the highest average grain yield with 3.76 t/ha and was significantly higher yielding than the 4 cm sowing depth plus FCR with 3.15 t/ha (Table 8). The presence of FCR did not have a significant effect on yield at 12 cm. Sowing depth of 12 cm minus FCR achieved a grain yield with 2.82 t/ha which was statistically similar to the 12 cm plus FCR treatment with an average yield of 2.67 t/ha.

Table 8	Effect of 2 sowing dates and 2 sowing depths on yield (t/ha) with and without the
presenc	e of FCR for the 2023 LCDW experiment at LFS.

FCR	Sowing	g date	Sowing	g depth
	SD1	SD2	4 cm	12 cm
Minus FCR	3.78 ª	2.80 °	3.76 ª	2.82 °
Plus FCR	3.48 ^b	2.35 d	3.15 ^b	2.67 °

Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 95% confidence level.

Summary

There was a significant yield penalty associated with delayed sowing. At the shallower sowing depth (4 cm), delayed sowing delivered an average yield penalty of 0.48 t/ha (13%) and an average yield penalty of 1.64 t/ha (46%) at the deeper sowing depth (12 cm). The yield reduction from delayed sowing varied between varieties from 1.09 t/ha (25%) with DBA Aurora^(h) to 1.16 t/ha (31%) with V190245-6.

There was no yield difference between sowing depths for the early sowing date (SD1), but a significant yield reduction was seen in the later sowing date (SD2). An average yield reduction across all varieties of 1.28 t/ha (40%) was observed for SD2 when the sowing depth was increased from 4 cm to 12 cm. A significant interaction between varieties and sowing depth was observed. DBA Aurora^(h), Scepter^(h), DBA Vittaroi^(h) and V190245-6 all demonstrated a yield reduction from 4 cm to 12 cm when averaged across both sowing dates, while V189631-3 and V189586-4 demonstrated no yield penalty from 4 cm to 12 cm sowing depths.

Increasing sowing depth significantly reduced plant density for both sowing dates. Sowing depth and sowing date also had a significant effect on early biomass accumulation. Sowing deeper (12 cm) and delaying sowing (SD2) significantly reduced early biomass, which was probably associated with lower grain yield at harvest.

There was a significant yield penalty when FCR was present. At SD1 there was an average yield loss of 0.30 t/ha (8%) with FCR and at SD2 there was an average yield loss of 0.45 t/ha (16%) with FCR.

Earlier sowing, even if it requires an increase in sowing depth to 12 cm appears to be a useful strategy to minimise yield loss from FCR in higher risk paddocks. This highlights the strong interaction between FCR expression and yield loss with moisture and/or temperature stress during grain filling. Earlier sowing to reduce the extent of stress during grain filling provided significant yield benefit both in the presence and absence of FCR infection in this experiment.

- Acknowledgements This research is part of the 'Integrating long coleoptile wheat into Australian farming systems through an integrated understanding of genetics, management and environment' project with shared investment from GRDC and NSW DPIRD.
- More information Tony Napier Yanco Agricultural Institute, Yanco tony.napier@dpi.nsw.gov.au 0427 201 839

© State of New South Wales through Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development 2024. You may copy, distribute, display, download and otherwise freely deal with this publication for any purpose, provided that you attribute the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development as the owner. However, you must obtain permission if you wish to charge others for access to the publication (other than at cost); include the publication in advertising or a product for sale; modify the publication; or republish the publication on a website. You may freely link to the publication on a departmental website.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing (October 2024) and may not be accurate, current or complete. The State of New South Wales (including the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development), the author and the publisher take no responsibility, and will accept no liability, for the accuracy, currency, reliability or correctness of any information included in the document (including material provided by third parties). Readers should make their own inquiries and rely on their own advice when making decisions related to material contained in this publication. The product trade names in this publication are supplied on the understanding that no preference between equivalent products is intended and that the inclusion of a product name does not imply endorsement by the department over any equivalent product from another manufacturer.



www.dpird.nsw.gov.au

Always read the label

Users of agricultural chemical products must always read the label and any permit before using the product and strictly comply with the directions on the label and the conditions of any permit. Users are not absolved from any compliance with the directions on the label or the conditions of the permit by any reason of any statement made or omitted to be made in this publication.